

# **Berthoud Area Meeting Notes**

## **11/16/2013**

Two citizens in attendance

### **What do you like?**

- Big round of cheers for getting roads back together after the flood.

### **What do you want?**

- Some level of fire protection reasoning is for Insurance Service Office rating for everyone. Would be better to have some lines drawn for different requirements for each area (urban, fringe, rural) areas.
- Ought to put on a checklist for a plat whether district boundaries match the lot boundaries and if not, have developer make sure it gets reconciled somehow. Example was when the water district boundaries crossed a lot line that was served by the district—that became an issue that is difficult to correct now.
- Consideration during transportation planning of existing facilities when doing right-of-way moves or expansions.
- More assistance from the County to neighborhoods regarding the placement of new utility lines or the movement of existing utility lines.

### **What needs to be improved or changed?**

- Every municipality and agency defines and handles things differently. Make more consistent.
- There should be common uses allowed between the cities and counties.
- Secondary access point requirements have been problematic in some cases for rural residents.
- Hard to keep up with subtleties of how things work in all the counties and towns. Sometimes the terminologies don't match up. Hard to keep up with all the differences. Big piece would be cementing more things between the towns and county. Accessory dwelling changes had a big impact on utility providers, particularly on whether a tap is needed or not. For instance, in the County, may be able to use the same tap, but in other places, may not.
- Try to watch for landmines regarding the impacts to other agencies. Consistently applying regs for other agencies is sometimes difficult as no-one knows who is driving the bus, such as in fire sprinklering requirements. In developments, it has been a struggle to figure out when things should happen and at what time in the development. It has been problematic to have a tap installed before the final plat as once installed, fees have to be paid every year even though lots may not be developed.
- When development occurs, if there are requirements that affect a district regarding the infrastructure, it should be a requirement for the developer to pay for those impacts and that is not always clear, particularly when the impacts occur on property that has been annexed and is now out of county.